

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/540,319 | 03/31/2000 | Robert Justice Shartle | LFS-93 | 1316 |
| 75 | 590 05/23/2002 | | | |
| PHILIP S JOHNSON | | | EXAMINER | |
| One Johnson & New Brunswick | Johnson Plaza k, NJ 08933-7003 | | NASSER, ROBERT | OBERT L |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3736 | X |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/23/2002 | O |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/540,319

Shartle et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner **Robert Nasser** Art Unit 3736



| | | n the cover sheet with the correspondence address | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Period for Rep | | FO EVEIDE 2 MACNITURES EDOM | |
| THE MAILIN | IED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TIG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | |
| | me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In n this communication. | o event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the | |
| - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply v - Any reply receiv | reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the | d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) 💢 Respo | onsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 26, 20 | | |
| 2a) This a | action is FINAL. 2b) 💢 This action | on is non-final. | |
| | this application is in condition for allowance ed in accordance with the practice under Ex par | xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of | Claims | | |
| 4) 💢 Claim | (s) <u>1-16</u> | is/are pending in the application. | |
| 4a) Of | the above, claim(s) <u>14-16</u> | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | |
| 5) 🗆 Claim | (s) | is/are allowed. | |
| 6) 💢 Claim | (s) <u>1-13</u> | is/are rejected. | |
| 7) 🗌 Claim | (s) | is/are objected to. | |
| 8) 🗌 Claim | s | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | |
| Application Pa | apers | | |
| 9) ☐ The s | pecification is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| 10)□ The d | drawing(s) filed onis/are | a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. | |
| Appl | icant may not request that any objection to the dr | awing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| 11)□ The p | proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | |
| If ap | proved, corrected drawings are required in reply to | o this Office action. | |
| 12)□ The c | oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin | ner. | |
| Priority under | 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13) Ackn | owledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr | iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) 🗌 All | b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | |
| 1. 🗆 | Certified copies of the priority documents have | e been received. | |
| 2. 🗆 | Certified copies of the priority documents have | been received in Application No | |
| | application from the International Burea | | |
| | attached detailed Office action for a list of the | | |
| | owledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | |
| • | e translation of the foreign language provisiona | | |
| | owledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | |
| Attachment(s) | | 4) Theories Summer (PTO 413) Pener No(n) | |
| <u> </u> | eferences Cited (PFO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 3 6) Other: | | | |
| 3) [X] Information | Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449) Paper No(s). | 6) Other: | |

Art Unit: 3736

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-13 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Accordingly, claims 14-16 are withdrawn from consideration.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 is rejected in that the last paragraph recites a scored portion in one of the layers that divides the second layer. . . . It is unclear how a score in the first layer could device the second layer. Claims 2-13 are rejected as being dependent on a rejected base claim.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hodges et al (WO 97/18464) in view of Charlton et al. Hodges et al shows all of the claimed features except for the scored groove in the outer layer. This is shown by Charlton et al which provides such a groove for providing the sample to the device. As such, it would have been obvious to modify Hodges et al to use such a groove, as it is merely the substitution of one sample application structure for another. The examiner notes that the channel created in Charlton t al is for a different purpose than applicant's, but notes that applicant has not recited any structure to monitor the changing voltages.

Application/Control Number: 09/540319

Art Unit: 3736

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-3251. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 8:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver, can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-0758.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [kevin.shaver@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

RLN May 20, 2002

ROBERT L. NASSER PRIMARY EXAMINER